



World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 113 (2018) 130-137

EISSN 2392-219

C-compactness Via Grills

M. K. Gupta^a and Monika Gaur^b

Department of Mathematics, Chaudhary Charan Singh University
Meerut, U.P., India

^{a,b}E-mail address: mkgupta2002@hotmail.com, monikagaur.ccsu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In the present paper, we study C-compactness with respect to a grill, which simultaneously generalizes C-compactness and G-compactness and term it as C(G)-compact space. Several of its properties are investigated and effects of various kinds of functions on them are studied.

Keywords: Grill, G-compact, C-compact, Quasi-H-closed

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we consider a topological space equipped with a grill, a brilliant notion that has been initiated by Choquet [1]. A grill \mathcal{G} on a topological space X is a collection of subsets of X satisfying the following conditions: (1) $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{G}$, (2) $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{G}$, and (3) $A \notin \mathcal{G}$ and $B \notin \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow A \cup B \notin \mathcal{G}$. $\mathcal{G}(\{\emptyset\}) := P(X) - \{\emptyset\}$ and \emptyset are trivial examples of grills. Some useful grills are (i) \mathcal{G}_∞ , the grill of all infinite subsets of X , (ii) \mathcal{G}_{co} , the grill of all uncountable subsets of X , (iii) $\mathcal{G}_p = \{A \subseteq X : A \in P(X); p \in A\}$, (iv) $\mathcal{G}_\sigma = \{A \subseteq X : \text{int}(\text{cl}(A)) \neq \emptyset\}$. For a grill \mathcal{G} on X and $A \subset X$, we denote the grill $\{G \cap A : G \in \mathcal{G}\}$ by \mathcal{G}_A .

A topological space (X, τ) with a grill \mathcal{G} on X will be denoted by (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) . Roy and Mukherjee [6] defined a topology obtained as an associated structure on a topological space (X, τ) induced by a grill on X . According to them, for $A \in P(X)$, $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A, \tau)$ or $\Phi_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$ or simply

$\Phi(A)$ is the set $\{x \in X : A \cap U \in \mathcal{G}, \text{ for every open neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\}$. We can easily check that (i) for the grill ϕ , $\Phi(A)$ is ϕ (ii) for the grill $\mathcal{G}(\{\phi\})$, $\Phi(A)$ is $\text{cl}(A)$, (iii) for the grill \mathcal{G}_∞ , $\Phi(A)$ is the set of all ω -accumulation points of A (iv) for the grill \mathcal{G}_{co} , $\Phi(A)$ is the set of all condensation points of A . Consider the operator $\Psi: P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, where $\Psi(A) = A \cup \Phi(A)$, then Ψ is a Kuratowski closure operator and hence induces a topology on X , strictly finer than τ , in general. Also $\tau_{\mathcal{G}} = \{U \subseteq X : \Psi(X - U) = X - U\}$. We can easily check, $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}(\phi) =$ the discrete topology and $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{G}(\{\phi\})) = \tau$. For a grill space (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) , the $\mathcal{B} = \{U - A : U \in \tau \text{ and } A \notin \mathcal{G}\}$ is the base for the topology $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ on X , finer than τ . Gupta and Noiri [3] defined C-compactness in an ideal topological space. Here we will define and explore C-compactness in a topological space by using the notion of grills. Some interesting illustrations of $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ are as follows:

- (1) If τ is the topology generated by the partition $\{\{2n-1, 2n\} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ on the set N of natural numbers, then $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ for \mathcal{G}_∞ is the discrete topology.
- (2) If τ is the indiscrete topology on a set X , then $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ for \mathcal{G}_∞ is the cofinite topology on X .
- (3) For any topological space (X, τ) , $\tau_{\mathcal{G}}$ for \mathcal{G}_σ is the τ^α topology of Njastad [5].

We recall that a subset A of a grill space (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) is said to be \mathcal{G} -compact [7] if for every cover \mathcal{U} of A by elements of τ , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i \notin \mathcal{G}$. The grill space (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) is said to be \mathcal{G} -compact if X is \mathcal{G} -compact.

It is clear that (X, τ) is compact if and only if $(X, \tau, \mathcal{G}(\{\phi\}))$ is $\mathcal{G}(\{\phi\})$ -compact. If (X, τ) is compact then (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) is \mathcal{G} -compact for any grill \mathcal{G} .

2. QUASI-H-CLOSED WITH RESPECT TO A GRILL SPACE

A topological space (X, τ) is said to be Quasi-H-closed or simply QHC, if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)$.

In this section, we define quasi-H-closedness via grills and study some of its properties.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . X is quasi-H-closed with respect to \mathcal{G} or just (\mathcal{G}) QHC if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} such that $X - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Such a subfamily is said to be proximate subcover modulo \mathcal{G} or just (\mathcal{G}) proximate subcover.

Definition 2.2. A grill \mathcal{G} of subsets of a topological space (X, τ) is said to be co non-dense if the complement of each of its members is non-dense.

Theorem 2.3. For a space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- (a) (X, τ) is quasi-H-closed.
- (b) (X, τ) is (ϕ) QHC.
- (c) (X, τ) is (\mathcal{G}_∞) QHC.

- (d) (X, τ) is (\mathcal{G}_σ) QHC.
- (e) (X, τ) is (\mathcal{G}) QHC for every co non-dense grill \mathcal{G} .

Proof: It is easy to check from the above discussion.

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of X is said to have the *finite – intersection property with respect to a grill \mathcal{G}* on X or just (\mathcal{G}) FIP if the intersection of finite subfamily of \mathcal{F} is a member of \mathcal{G} . Recall that a subset in a space is called regular open if it is the interior of its own closure. The complement of a regular open set is called regular closed.

Theorem 2.4. For a space (X, τ) and a grill \mathcal{G} on X , the following are equivalent:

- (a) (X, τ) is (\mathcal{G}) QHC;
- (b) For each family \mathcal{F} of closed sets having empty intersection, there is a finite subfamily $\{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(F_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$;
- (c) For each family \mathcal{F} of closed sets such that $\{\text{int}(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has (\mathcal{G}) FIP, one has $\bigcap \{F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$;
- (d) Every regular open cover has a (\mathcal{G}) proximate cover;
- (e) For each family \mathcal{F} of non empty regular closed sets having empty intersection, there is a finite subfamily $\{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(F_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$;
- (f) For each collection \mathcal{F} of non empty regular closed sets such that $\{\text{int}(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has (\mathcal{G}) FIP, one has $\bigcap \{F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \emptyset$;
- (g) For each open filter base \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{G} , $\bigcap \{\text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \neq \emptyset$;
- (h) Every open ultra filter on \mathcal{G} converges.

3. C-COMPACTNESS WITH RESPECT TO A GRILL

In this section, we generalize the concept of C-compactness of Viglino [9] and compactness via grills of Roy and Mukherjee [7].

Herrington and Long [4] characterized C-compact spaces. A space (X, τ) is said to be C-compact if for each closed set A and each τ -open covering \mathcal{U} of A , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)$.

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . (X, τ) is said to be C-compact with respect to grill or just $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact if for every τ -open covering \mathcal{U} of A , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Every C-compact space (X, τ) is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact for any grill \mathcal{G} on X . It is clear from the following example that the converse of it is not true.

Example 3.2. Consider Example 3. of [8]. Let \mathcal{G} be a grill of all supersets of $X-A$. Then (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact, but X is not C-compact.

Theorem 3.3. For a space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent:

- (a) (X, τ) is C-compact.
- (b) (X, τ) is $C(\phi)$ -compact.
- (c) (X, τ) is $C(\mathcal{G}_\infty)$ -compact.

Theorem 3.4. If a space is \mathcal{G} -compact then it is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact.

Proof: Let X be a \mathcal{G} -compact space, A a closed subset of X and $\{V_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ an open cover of A . Then $(X-A) \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (V_\alpha)$ is an open cover of X . Since X is \mathcal{G} -compact, therefore there exists finite $\Lambda_0 \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $X - \{X - A\} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} (V_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{G}$. This implies $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} (V_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since $V_\alpha \subset \text{cl}(V_\alpha)$, therefore $A - \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} \text{cl}(V_\alpha) \notin \mathcal{G}$, implying that X is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact.

In view of following example it is clear that the converse of this theorem, in general, is not true.

Example 3.5. Consider Example 3.3 of [3]. By Theorem 3.3, X is $C(\mathcal{G}_\infty)$ -compact, but not (\mathcal{G}_∞) -compact.

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, τ) be a space and \mathcal{G} be a grill on X . Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) (X, τ) is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact ;
- (b) For each closed subset A of X and each family \mathcal{F} of closed subsets of X such that $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \phi$, there is a finite subfamily $\{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\text{int}(F_i)) \cap A \notin \mathcal{G}$;
- (c) For each closed set A and each family \mathcal{F} of closed subsets of X such that $\{\text{int}(F) \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has $(\mathcal{G})\text{FIP}$, one has $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \phi$;
- (d) For each closed set A and each regular open cover \mathcal{U} of A , there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$.
- (e) For each closed set A and each family \mathcal{F} of regular closed sets such that $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \phi$, there is a finite subfamily $\{F_1, F_2, F_3, \dots, F_n\}$ such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\text{int}(F_i)) \cap A \notin \mathcal{G}$;
- (f) For each closed set A and each family \mathcal{F} of regular closed sets such that $\{\text{int}(F) \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ has $(\mathcal{G})\text{FIP}$, one has $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \neq \phi$;
- (g) For each closed set A , each open cover \mathcal{U} of $X-A$ and each open neighborhood V of A , there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} such that $X - (V \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i))) \notin \mathcal{G}$.
- (h) For each closed set A and each open filter base \mathcal{B} on X such that $\{B \cap A : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \mathcal{G}$, one has $\bigcap \{\text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \cap A \neq \phi$.

Proof: (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let (X, τ) be $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact, A a closed subset, and \mathcal{F} a family of closed subsets with $\bigcap \{F \cap A : F \in \mathcal{F}\} = \phi$. Then $\{X - F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is an open cover of A and hence

admits a finite subfamily $\{X - F_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(X - F_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. This set not in \mathcal{G} is easily seen to be $\{\bigcap_{i=1}^n (\text{int}(F_i)) \cap A\}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Easy.

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Let A be a closed subset. Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of A with the property that for no finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , one has $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Then $\{X - U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a family of closed sets. Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{(X - \text{cl}(U_i))\} \cap A = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \{A - \text{cl}(U_i)\} = A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)$, the family $\{\text{int}(X - U) \cap A : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ has $(\mathcal{G})\text{FIP}$. By the hypothesis $\bigcap \{(X - U) \cap A : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \bigcap \{A - U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow A - \bigcup \{U : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is not a cover of A , a contradiction.

(d) \Rightarrow (a) Let A be a closed subset of X and \mathcal{U} be an open cover of A . Then $\{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a regular open cover of A . Let $\{U_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be a finite subfamily such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U_i))) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since U_i is open, and for each open set U we have $\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl}(U))) = \text{cl}(U)$. We have $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Hence X is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact.

(a) \Rightarrow (d) This is obvious.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) \Rightarrow (f) \Rightarrow (d) are parallel to **(a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (a)** respectively.

(a) \Rightarrow (g) Let A be a closed set, V an open neighborhood of A , and \mathcal{U} an open cover of $X - A$. Since $X - V \subset X - A$, \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $X - V$. Let $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ be a finite collection of \mathcal{U} , such that $(X - V) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since $(X - V) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) = X - (V \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)))$. This shows $(X - (V \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)))) \notin \mathcal{G}$.

(g) \Rightarrow (a) Let A be a closed subset of X and \mathcal{U} an open covering of A . If H denotes the union of members of \mathcal{U} , then $F = X - H$ is a closed set and $X - A$ is an open neighborhood of F . Also \mathcal{U} is an open cover of $X - F$. By hypothesis, there is a finite sub-collection $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , such that $X - ((X - A) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i))) \notin \mathcal{G}$. However, this set not in \mathcal{G} is nothing but $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i)$.

(a) \Rightarrow (h) Suppose A is a closed set and \mathcal{B} is an open filter base on X with $\{B \cap A : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \mathcal{G}$. Suppose, if possible, $\bigcap \{\text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \cap A = \emptyset$. Then $\{X - \text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is an open cover of A . By the hypothesis, there exists a finite subfamily $\{X - \text{cl}(B_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(X - \text{cl}(B_i)) \notin \mathcal{G}$. However, this set is $A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(\text{cl}(B_i)))$ and $A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i)$ is a subset of it. Therefore, $A \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since \mathcal{B} is a filter base, we have a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i$. But then $A \cap B \notin \mathcal{G}$ which contradicts the fact that $\{B \cap A : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \mathcal{G}$.

(h) \Rightarrow (a) Suppose that (X, τ) is not $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact. Then there exist a closed subset A of X and an open cover \mathcal{U} of A such that for any finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , we have $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \in \mathcal{G}$. We may assume that \mathcal{U} is closed under finite unions. Then the family $\mathcal{B} = \{X$

$\{X - \text{cl}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an open filter base on X such that $\{B \cap A : B \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \mathcal{G}$. So, by the hypothesis, $\bigcap \{X - \text{cl}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let x be a point in the intersection. Then $x \in A$ and $x \in X - \text{cl}(U) = X - \text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) \subset X - U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. But this contradicts the fact that \mathcal{U} is a cover of A . Hence, (X, τ) is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact.

Definition 3.7. A filter base \mathcal{B} is said to be (\mathcal{G}) adherent convergent if for every neighborhood N of the adherent set of \mathcal{B} , there exists an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(X - N) \cap B \notin \mathcal{G}$.

Theorem 3.8. A space (X, τ) is $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact if and only if every open filter base on \mathcal{G} is (\mathcal{G}) adherent convergent.

Proof: Let (X, τ) be $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact and let \mathcal{B} be an open filter base on \mathcal{G} with A as its adherent set. Let G be an open neighborhood of A . Then $A = \bigcap \{\text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$, $A \subset G$, and $X - G$ is closed. Now $\{X - \text{cl}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is an open cover of $X - G$ and so by the hypothesis, it admits a finite subfamily $\{X - \text{cl}(B_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that $(X - G) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(X - \text{cl}(B_i)) \notin \mathcal{G}$. But this implies $(X - G) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{int}(\text{cl}(B_i))) \notin \mathcal{G}$. However $B_i \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(B_i))$ implies $(X - G) \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n (B_i)) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since \mathcal{B} is a filter base and $B_i \in \mathcal{B}$, there is a $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n B_i$. But then $(X - G) \cap B \notin \mathcal{G}$ is required.

Conversely, let (X, τ) be not $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact, and A be a closed set, and \mathcal{U} be an open cover of A such that for no finite subfamily $\{U_1, U_2, U_3, \dots, U_n\}$ of \mathcal{U} , one has $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_i) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \mathcal{U} is closed for finite unions. Therefore, $\mathcal{B} = \{X - \text{cl}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ becomes an open filter base on \mathcal{G} . If x is an adherent point of \mathcal{B} , that is, if $x \in \bigcap \{X - \text{cl}(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = X - \bigcup \{\text{int}(\text{cl}(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$, then $x \notin A$, because \mathcal{U} is an open cover of A and for $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $U \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(U))$. Therefore, the adherent set of \mathcal{B} is contained in $X - A$, which is an open set. By the hypothesis, there exists an element $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $(X - (X - A)) \cap B \notin \mathcal{G}$, that is, $A \cap B \notin \mathcal{G}$, that is $A \cap (X - \text{cl}(U)) \notin \mathcal{G}$ some $U \in \mathcal{U}$. This however contradicts our assumption. This completes the proof.

4. $C(\mathcal{G})$ -COMPACT SPACES AND FUNCTIONS

Definition 4.1. A function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta)$ is said to be θ -continuous [2] at a point $x \in X$ if for every open set V of Y containing $f(x)$, there exists an open set U of X containing x such that $f(\text{cl}(U)) \subseteq \text{cl}(V)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $f : (X, \tau, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta, \mathcal{H})$ be a continuous surjection, (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact, and $f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Then (Y, ζ, \mathcal{H}) is $C(\mathcal{H})$ -compact.

Proof: Let A be a closed subset of (Y, ζ) and \mathcal{V} any open cover of A in Y . By continuity of f , $f^{-1}(A)$ is a closed subset of X and is such that $\{f^{-1}(V) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is a cover of $f^{-1}(A)$ by open

sets in X . Hence, by the $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compactness of X , there exists a finite subcollection $\{f^{-1}(V_i) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that $f^{-1}(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(f^{-1}(V_i)) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since f is continuous, $\text{cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\text{cl}(B))$ for every subset B of Y . Hence we have $f^{-1}(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n (f^{-1} \text{cl}(V_i)) = f^{-1}(A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_i)) \notin \mathcal{G}$. Since f is surjective, $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_i) \notin f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Hence, Y is $C(\mathcal{H})$ -compact.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f: (X, \tau, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow (Y, \zeta, \mathcal{H})$ be a θ -continuous function, (X, τ, \mathcal{G}) $C(\mathcal{G})$ -compact, (Y, ζ) Hausdorff, and $f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Then $f(A)$ is $\zeta_{\mathcal{H}}$ -closed.

Proof: Let A be any closed set in X and $a \notin f(A)$. For each $x \in A$, there exists a ζ -open set V_y containing $y = f(x)$ such that $a \notin \text{cl}(V_y)$. Now because f is θ -continuous, there exists an open set U_x containing x such that $f(\text{cl}(U_x)) \subseteq \text{cl}(V_y)$. Now, the family $\{U_x : x \in A\}$ is an open cover of A . Therefore, there exists a finite subfamily $\{U_{x_i} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ such that $A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{x_i}) \notin \mathcal{G}$. But then $f(A - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{x_i})) \notin f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, that is, $f(A) - f(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(U_{x_i})) \notin f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ because $f(\mathcal{G})$ is also a grill. Hence, $f(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i}) \notin f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Now $a \notin \text{cl}(V_{y_i})$ for any i implies that $a \in Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i})$ which is open in (Y, ζ) . That is $Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i})$ is a neighborhood of a in (Y, ζ) . However, $(Y - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i})) \cap f(A) = f(A) - \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{cl}(V_{y_i}) \notin f(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Hence, $a \notin \Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(f(A), \zeta)$. Thus $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}(f(A), \zeta) \subset f(A)$. This implies $f(A)$ is $\zeta_{\mathcal{H}}$ -closed.

References

- [1] G. Choquet. Sur les notions de filtre et de grille, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 224 (1947), 171-173.
- [2] S. V. Fomin. Extensions of topological spaces, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 44 (1943), 471-480.
- [3] M. K. Gupta and T. Noiri, C-compactness modulo an ideal, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2006 (2006), 1-12. DOI: 10.1155/IJMMS/2006/78135.
- [4] L. L. Herrington and P. E. Long, Characterizations of C-compact spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 52 (1975) 417-426.
- [5] O. Njastad. On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific J. Math.* 15 (1965), 961-970.
- [6] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee. On a typical topology induced by a grill, *Soochow J. Math.* 33(4) (2007) 771-786.
- [7] B. Roy and M. N. Mukherjee. On a type of compactness via grills, *Mat. Vesnik* 59(3) (2007) 113-120.
- [8] S. Sakai, A note on C-compact spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 46 (1970) 917-920.

- [9] G. Viglino, C-compact spaces, *Duke Math. J.* 36 (1969) 761-764.