



# World Scientific News

An International Scientific Journal

WSN 106 (2018) 238-244

EISSN 2392-2192

---

---

SHORT COMMUNICATION

## On the Faddeev-Sominsky's algorithm

H. Torres-Silva <sup>1</sup>, J. López-Bonilla <sup>2,\*</sup>, S. Vidal-Beltrán <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Escuela de Ingeniería Eléctrica y Electrónica, Universidad de Tarapacá, Arica, Casilla 6-D, Chile

<sup>2</sup> ESIME-Zacatenco, Instituto Politécnico Nacional,  
Edif. 4, 1er. Piso, Col. Lindavista CP 07738, CDMX, México

\*E-mail address: [jlopezb@ipn.mx](mailto:jlopezb@ipn.mx)

### ABSTRACT

We comment that the Faddeev-Sominsky's process to obtain an inverse matrix is equivalent to the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius theorem plus the Leverrier-Takeno's method to construct the characteristic polynomial of an arbitrary matrix. Besides, we deduce the Lanczos expression for the resolvent of the corresponding matrix.

**Keywords:** Inverse matrix, Characteristic equation, Eigenvalue problem, Adjoint matrix, Faddeev-Sominsky's method, Leverrier-Takeno's algorithm, Resolvent of a matrix

### 1. INTRODUCTION

For an arbitrary matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{n \times n} = (A^i_j)$  its characteristic equation [1-3]:

$$p(\lambda) \equiv \lambda^n + a_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \lambda + a_n = 0, \quad (1)$$

can be obtained, through several procedures [1, 4-7], directly from the condition  $\det (A_j^i - \lambda \delta_j^i) = 0$ . The approach of Leverrier-Takeno [4, 8-12] is a simple and interesting technique to construct (1) based in the traces of the powers  $\mathbf{A}^r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, n$ .

On the other hand, it is well known that an arbitrary matrix satisfies (1), which is the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius identity [1-3]:

$$\mathbf{A}^n + a_1 \mathbf{A}^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \mathbf{A} + a_n \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}. \tag{2}$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is non-singular (that is,  $\det \mathbf{A} \neq 0$ ), then from (2) we obtain its inverse matrix:

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = -\frac{1}{a_n} (\mathbf{A}^{n-1} + a_1 \mathbf{A}^{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1} \mathbf{I}), \tag{3}$$

where  $a_n \neq 0$  because  $a_n = (-1)^n \det \mathbf{A}$ .

Faddeev-Sominsky [13-15] proposed an algorithm to determine  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  in terms of  $\mathbf{A}^r$  and their traces, which is equivalent [16] to the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius theorem (2) plus the Leverrier-Takeno's method to construct the characteristic polynomial of a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ ; we also show the Lanczos expression for the resolvent of  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is, the Laplace transform of  $\exp(t \mathbf{A})$ , to see Sec. 2.

## 2. LEVERRIER-TAKENO AND FADDEEV-SOMINSKY TECHNIQUES

If we define the quantities:

$$a_0 = 1, \quad s_k = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{4}$$

then the process of Leverrier-Takeno [4, 8-12] implies (1) wherein the  $a_i$  are determined with the Newton's recurrence relation:

$$r a_r + s_1 a_{r-1} + s_2 a_{r-2} + \dots + s_{r-1} a_1 + s_r = 0, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, n \tag{5}$$

therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -s_1, & 2! a_2 &= (s_1)^2 - s_2, & 3! a_3 &= -(s_1)^3 + 3 s_1 s_2 - 2 s_3, \\ 4! a_4 &= (s_1)^4 - 6 (s_1)^2 s_2 + 8 s_1 s_3 + 3 (s_2)^2 - 6 s_4, & & \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

in particular,  $\det \mathbf{A} = (-1)^n a_n$ , that is, the determinant of any matrix only depends on the traces  $s_r$ , which means that  $\mathbf{A}$  and its transpose have the same determinant. In [17, 18] we find the general expression:

$$a_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} s_1 & k-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ s_2 & s_1 & k-2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ s_{k-1} & s_{k-2} & \dots & \dots & 1 \\ s_k & s_{k-1} & \dots & \dots & s_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n. \tag{7}$$

The Faddeev-Sominsky's procedure [13-16, 19, 20] to obtain  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is a sequence of algebraic computations on the powers  $\mathbf{A}^r$  and their traces, in fact, this algorithm is given via the instructions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{B}_1 &= \mathbf{A}, & q_1 &= \text{tr } \mathbf{B}_1, & \mathbf{C}_1 &= \mathbf{B}_1 - q_1 \mathbf{I}, \\
 \mathbf{B}_2 &= \mathbf{C}_1 \mathbf{A}, & q_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } \mathbf{B}_2, & \mathbf{C}_2 &= \mathbf{B}_2 - q_2 \mathbf{I}, \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \mathbf{B}_{n-1} &= \mathbf{C}_{n-2} \mathbf{A}, & q_{n-1} &= \frac{1}{n-1} \text{tr } \mathbf{B}_{n-1}, & \mathbf{C}_{n-1} &= \mathbf{B}_{n-1} - q_{n-1} \mathbf{I}, \\
 & & & & & \\
 \mathbf{B}_n &= \mathbf{C}_{n-1} \mathbf{A}, & q_n &= \frac{1}{n} \text{tr } \mathbf{B}_n, & & 
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

then:

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \frac{1}{q_n} \mathbf{C}_{n-1}. \tag{9}$$

For example, if we apply (8) for  $n = 4$ , then it is easy to see that the corresponding  $q_r$  imply (6) with  $q_j = -a_j$ , and besides (9) reproduces (3). By mathematical induction one can prove that (8) and (9) are equivalent to (3), (4) and (5), showing [16] thus that the Faddeev-Sominsky's technique has its origin in the Leverrier-Takeno method plus the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius theorem.

From (8) we can see that [20]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{C}_k &= \mathbf{A}^k + a_1 \mathbf{A}^{k-1} + a_2 \mathbf{A}^{k-2} + \dots + a_{k-1} \mathbf{A} + a_k \mathbf{I}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \\
 \mathbf{C}_n &= \mathbf{B}_n - q_n \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0},
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and for  $k = n - 1$ :

$$\mathbf{C}_{n-1} = \mathbf{A}^{n-1} + a_1 \mathbf{A}^{n-2} + a_2 \mathbf{A}^{n-3} + \dots + a_{n-2} \mathbf{A} + a_{n-1} \mathbf{I} \stackrel{(3)}{=} -a_n \mathbf{A}^{-1},$$

in harmony with (9) because  $a_n = -q_n$ . The property  $\mathbf{C}_n = \mathbf{0}$  is equivalent to (2); if  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular, the process (8) gives the adjoint matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$  [2, 3, 14], in fact,  $\text{Adj } \mathbf{A} = (-1)^{n+1} \mathbf{C}_{n-1}$ .

If the roots of (1) have distinct values, then the Faddeev-Sominsky's algorithm allows obtain the corresponding eigenvectors of  $\mathbf{A}$  [6]:

$$\mathbf{A} \vec{u}_k = \lambda_k \vec{u}_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{11}$$

because for a given value of  $k$ , each column of:

$$\mathbf{Q}_k \equiv \lambda_k^{n-1} \mathbf{I} + \lambda_k^{n-2} \mathbf{C}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{C}_{n-1}, \quad (12)$$

satisfies (11) [14, 21], and therefore all columns of  $\mathbf{Q}_k$  are proportional to each other, that is,  $\text{rank } \mathbf{Q}_k = 1$  [19].

Now we consider the matrix:

$$\mathbf{Q}(z) \equiv z^{n-1} \mathbf{I} + z^{n-2} \mathbf{C}_1 + z^{n-3} \mathbf{C}_2 + \dots + z \mathbf{C}_{n-2} + \mathbf{C}_{n-1}, \quad \mathbf{Q}(\lambda_k) = \mathbf{Q}_k, \quad (13)$$

then from (8):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}(z) &= z^{n-1} \mathbf{I} + z^{n-2} (\mathbf{B}_1 + a_1 \mathbf{I}) + z^{n-3} (\mathbf{B}_2 + a_2 \mathbf{I}) + \dots + z (\mathbf{B}_{n-2} + a_{n-2} \mathbf{I}) + \mathbf{B}_{n-1} \\ &\quad + a_{n-1} \mathbf{I}, \\ &= (z^{n-1} + a_1 z^{n-2} + a_2 z^{n-3} + \dots + a_{n-2} z + a_{n-1}) \mathbf{I} \\ &\quad + (z^{n-2} \mathbf{I} + z^{n-3} \mathbf{C}_1 + \dots + z \mathbf{C}_{n-3} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2}) \mathbf{A}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (1), (13) \quad &= \frac{1}{z} [p(z) - a_n] \mathbf{I} + \frac{1}{z} [\mathbf{Q}(z) - \mathbf{C}_{n-1}] \mathbf{A} \stackrel{(8)}{=} \frac{1}{z} [p(z) + \mathbf{Q}(z) \mathbf{A}] - [a_n \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{B}_n], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

but from (10) we have the relation  $\mathbf{B}_n + a_n \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$ , therefore (14) implies the following Lanczos formula [20-25] for the resolvent of  $\mathbf{A}$ :

$$\frac{1}{z \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}} = \frac{\mathbf{Q}(z)}{p(z)}. \quad (15)$$

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is non-singular, then (15) for  $z = 0$  implies (9). McCarthy [26] used (15) and the Cauchy's integral theorem in complex variable to show the Cayley-Hamilton-Frobenius identity indicated in (2). We note that (15) is the Laplace transform of  $\exp(t \mathbf{A})$  [25].

If the roots of (1) have distinct values, then from (15) the Faddeev-Sominsky's method allows construct the proper vectors of  $\mathbf{A}$ , in fact, they are given via the expression [14, 21]:

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{Q}_k, \quad \mathbf{Q}_k \neq \mathbf{0}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n, \quad (16)$$

in according with (11).

The coefficients  $a_k$  defined in (6) and (7) can be written in terms of the Bell polynomials [27-33], in fact [34]:

$$a_m = \frac{1}{m!} Y_m(-0! s_1, -1! s_2, -2! s_3, -3! s_4, \dots, -(m-2)! s_{m-1}, -(m-1)! s_m). \quad (17)$$

On the other hand, Sylvester [35-38] obtained the following interpolating definition of  $f(\mathbf{A})$ :

$$f(\mathbf{A}) = \sum_{j=1}^n f(\lambda_j) \prod_{k \neq j} \frac{\mathbf{A} - \lambda_k \mathbf{I}}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k}, \quad (18)$$

which is valid if all eigenvalues are different from each other. Buchheim [39] generalized (18) to multiple proper values using Hermite interpolation, thereby giving the first completely general definition of a matrix function. We comment that with (18) also is possible to prove the relation (15) for the resolvent of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

### 3. CONCLUSIONS

It is interesting to mention that the method (8) was successfully applied [40] in general relativity to study the embedding of spacetimes into pseudo-Euclidean spaces. The Leverrier-Takeno and Faddeev-Sominsky methods need  $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)(2n^3 - 2n^2 + n + 2)$  and  $(n-1)n^3$  arithmetic operations to determine the coefficients  $a_k$ , respectively. Gower [19] indicates that the procedure (8) is subject to unacceptable rounding errors and therefore is unsuitable for numerical work, hence such algorithm does have algebraic interest.

### References

- [1] C. Lanczos, Applied analysis, Dover, New York (1988).
- [2] L. Hogben, Handbook of linear algebra, Chapman & Hall / CRC Press, London (2006).
- [3] A. K. Hazra, Matrix: Algebra, calculus and generalized inverse, Cambridge Int. Sci. Pub. (2006).
- [4] H. Wayland, Expansion of determinantal equations into polynomial form, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 2 (1945) 277-306.
- [5] A. S. Householder, F. L. Bauer, On certain methods for expanding the characteristic polynomial, *Numerische Math.* 1 (1959) 29-37.
- [6] J. H. Wilkinson, The algebraic eigenvalue problem, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1965).
- [7] D. Lovelock, H. Rund, Tensors, differential forms, and variational principles, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1975).
- [8] U. J. J. Leverrier, Sur les variations séculaires des éléments elliptiques des sept planètes principales, *J. de Math. Pures Appl. Série 1*, 5 (1840) 220-254.
- [9] A. N. Krylov, On the numerical solution of the equation, that in technical problems, determines the small oscillation frequencies of material systems, *Bull. de l'Acad. Sci. URSS* 7(4) (1931) 491-539.
- [10] H. Takeno, A theorem concerning the characteristic equation of the matrix of a tensor of the second order, *Tensor NS* 3 (1954) 119-122.
- [11] E. B. Wilson, J. C. Decius, P. C. Cross, Molecular vibrations, Dover, New York (1980) 216-217.

- [12] I. Guerrero-Moreno, J. López-Bonilla, J. Rivera-Rebolledo, Leverrier-Takeno coefficients for the characteristic polynomial of a matrix, *J. Inst. Eng. (Nepal)* 8(1-2) (2011) 255-258.
- [13] D. K. Faddeev, I. S. Sominsky, Collection of problems on higher algebra, Moscow (1949).
- [14] V. N. Faddeeva, Computational methods of linear algebra, Dover, New York (1959) Chap. 3.
- [15] D. K. Faddeev, Methods in linear algebra, W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, USA (1963).
- [16] J. H. Caltenco, J. López-Bonilla, R. Peña-Rivero, Characteristic polynomial of A and Faddeev's method for  $A^{-1}$ , *Educatia Matematica* 3(1-2) (2007) 107-112.
- [17] L. S. Brown, Quantum field theory, Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [18] T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, A Galileon primer, arXiv: 1212.6972v1 [hep-th] 31 Dec. 2012.
- [19] J. C. Gower, A modified Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm for matrices with multiple eigenvalues, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 31(1) (1980) 61-70.
- [20] B. Hanzon, R. Peeters, Computer algebra in systems theory, Dutch Institute of Systems and Control, Course Program 1999-2000.
- [21] R. Cruz-Santiago, J. López-Bonilla, S. Vidal-Beltrán, On eigenvectors associated to a multiple eigenvalue, *World Scientific News* 100 (2018) 248-253.
- [22] C. Lanczos, An iteration method for the solution of the eigenvalue problem of linear differential and integral operators, *J. of Res. Nat. Bureau Stand.* 45(4) (1950) 255-282.
- [23] Shui-Hung Hou, On the Leverrier-Faddeev algorithm, Electronic Proc. of Asia Tech. Conf. in Maths. (1998).
- [24] Shui-Hung Hou, A simple proof of the Leverrier-Faddeev characteristic polynomial algorithm, *SIAM Rev.* 40(3) (1998) 706-709.
- [25] J. López-Bonilla, D. Romero-Jiménez, A. Zaldívar-Sandoval, Laplace transform of matrix exponential function, *Prespacetime Journal* 6(12) (2015) 1410-1413.
- [26] Ch. A. McCarthy, The Cayley-Hamilton theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 8(4) (1975) 390-391.
- [27] J. Riordan, Combinatorial identities, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1968).
- [28] L. Comtet, Advanced combinatorics, D. Reidel Pub., Dordrecht, Holland (1974).
- [29] D. A. Zave, A series expansion involving the harmonic numbers, *Inform. Process. Lett.* 5(3) (1976) 75-77.
- [30] X. Chen, W. Chu, The Gauss  ${}_2F_1(1)$ -summation theorem and harmonic number identities, *Integral Transforms and Special Functions* 20(12) (2009) 925-935.
- [31] [31] J. Quaintance, H. W. Gould, Combinatorial identities for Stirling numbers, World Scientific, Singapore (2016).

- [32] W. P. Johnson, The curious history of Faà di Bruno's formula, *The Math. Assoc. of America* 109 (2002) 217-234.
- [33] J. López-Bonilla, R. López-Vázquez, S. Vidal-Beltrán, Bell polynomials, *Prespacetime* 9(5) (2018) 451-453.
- [34] J. López-Bonilla, S. Vidal-Beltrán, A. Zúñiga-Segundo, Characteristic equation of a matrix via Bell polynomials, *Asia Mathematica* 2(2) (2018) to appear.
- [35] J. J. Sylvester, On the equation to the secular inequalities in the planetary theory, *Phil. Mag.* 16 (1883) 267-269.
- [36] A. Buchheim, On the theory of matrices, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 16 (1884) 63-82.
- [37] N. J. Higham, Functions of matrices: Theory and computation, SIAM, Philadelphia, USA (2008).
- [38] N. J. Higham, Sylvester's influence on applied mathematics, *Maths. Today* 50(4) (2014) 202-206.
- [39] A. Buchheim, An extension of a theorem of Professor Sylvester's relating to matrices, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Phil. Mag. And J. of Sci.* 22(135) (1886) 173-174.
- [40] J. López-Bonilla, J. Morales, G. Ovando, E. Ramírez, Leverrier-Faddeev's algorithm applied to spacetimes of class one, *Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci.* 43(1) (2006) 47-50.