Head and neck cancer (HNC) ranks sixth most common cancer across the globe and adds approximately 0.5 million new cases annually (1). The major oncogenic drivers are high intake of alcohol, long-term use of tobacco, and high-risk infections-associated Human Papilloma Virus (2). The therapeutic modalities for HNC are surgery followed by radio and chemotherapy. However, the outcome of these therapeutic modalities is dismal which drastically reduces the quality of life and five year survival time (2). Owing to very high heterogeneity in HNSC cells, the current regiment of chemotherapeutic drugs against HNSC is not significantly improving the outcome of the disease (2). Intriguingly, these drugs have a range of adverse side effects, such as immune-suppression, myelosuppression, gastrointestinal distress, and infertility. One of the major debacles of current chemotherapy is the development of drug resistance which becomes a major cause of treatment failure (3). Thus, identification of novel and effective treatment strategies that might be more effective (hit multiple target sites), with fewer side effects and sensitize drug-resistant cells. Owing to have fewer toxicity issues to normal cells and hit multiple targets in malignant cells, natural compounds obtained from plant sources act as an excellent chemopreventive agent with a safe toxicity profile.
Moreover, recent reports suggest that various chemotherapeutic drugs regulating epigenetics thereby modulate miRNA expression by downregulating oncogenic miRNAs (7). Owing to have deregulated expression in various types of cancers, miRNAs are the appealing targets in both cancer diagnostics and therapeutics.

BBR, a phytochemical derived from Coptidis rhizome, was exclusively studied over the last decade (8). Its effectiveness as an anti-inflammatory, anti-depressor, anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-diabetic, and more had put it as a center of research, especially as an anti-cancer agent (8). This inhibitory effect of BBR was elucidated by the antiproliferative effects on different types of cancers including head and neck, lung, breast, glioblastoma, etc., by targeting different signaling pathways (9, 10). Recently the autophagy induced cell death was demonstrated as a second type of programmed cell death, in different types of tumors (11). In recent reports from various scientific outcomes revealed that BBR persuades tumor cell killing by activating apoptosis and autophagy (12).

Autophagy is the basic catabolic mechanism that involves the degradation of unnecessary or dysfunctional cellular components with the help of lysosomes. This results in the recycling of cellular components for metabolic energy to regulate cellular homeostasis, especially during stress conditions (12-14). In recent reports, autophagy has been demonstrated to play key roles in numerous physiological and pathological processes, like cell proliferation, differentiation, and metabolism (13). In this study, we demonstrated that BBR exhibits antiproliferative activity by simultaneously inducing autophagy and apoptotic cell death in HNSC cells. Additionally, we demonstrate BBR modulates the expression of miRNAs by upregulates the expressions of miR-155 and downregulates the expressions of miR-21 in a dose-dependent manner.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and treatments

HNSC cells (SSC-15 & SSC-4) and normal transformed cell line NBL-4 were procured from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The cells were maintained in a proper culture medium as the instructions provided on the ATCC datasheet. Briefly, HNSC cells were maintained in the required medium supplemented by 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Culturing cells were incubated at 37°C in the CO₂ incubator contains 5% CO₂. Cells were periodically checked for mycoplasma contamination.

Chemicals, reagents, and antibodies

BBR, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), acridine orange (AO), crystal violet, propidium iodide (PI), and DMSO were purchased from Sigma. However, all antibodies that were procured from cell signaling technology are β-actin, MAP-LC-3I/II, SQSTM1/P62, Beclin 1, PARP 1, and Caspase-3. The secondary anti-mouse IgG and anti-rabbit IgG-tagged with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) antibodies were ordered and purchased from Santacruz Biotechnology.

Cell proliferation/viability assay

The proliferation of cells was analyzed by MTT assay as per the standard protocol (15). Briefly, HNSC cells (SSC-15 and SSC-4) were processed for trypsinization and 2 ◊ 10³ cells were seeded per well in 96-well plates. The seeded cells were attached to the surface overnight and exposed to varying doses of BBR (100 nM – 1000 µM) along with control DMSO for 24 h and incubated in a 5% CO₂ incubator. Subsequently, after the completion of the time point, cells were saturated with MTT dye (2.5 mg/mL) for 3-4 h at 37°C. The formazan crystals formed were solubilized in DMSO, mixed properly by gentle vortex for 15 min, and the optical density was measured at 570 nm by multi-plate reader. The percentage of cell proliferation was analyzed as the percent cell viability of treated cells compared with the control DMSO group.

Colony formation assay

SSC-15 cells at a density of 1 ◊ 10⁶ were plated in 6-well plates as per standard protocol (16). The cells were exposed to varying concentrations of BBR (200, 250, 300 µM) along with control DMSO and were maintained in 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C for 24 h. After the completion of the time point, cells are washed with ice-cold PBS and fixed in pre-cold methanol for 20 minutes. After fixation cells were stained with 0.5% crystal violet solution for 15 min, wash the cells with distilled water and air dry. The colonies stained in each well were counted and photographed with an Olympus C-7070 microscope.

Immunoblotting

After overnight plating of SSC-15 cells at a density of 50 ◊ 10⁶ cells/well as per standard protocol (17) in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37°C. After adhering to the bottom surface of the well, SSC cells were exposed to varying concentrations of BBR (200, 250, 300 µM) along with the DMSO vehicle. After 24 h, SSC-15 cells were washed with
pre-cooled PBS and processed for lysis with cell lysis buffer. The lysis solution obtained from cells was collected and processed at 4°C for centrifugation at a speed of 12000 g for 15 min. Supernatants collected from centrifugation in a separate autoclaved micro-tube were subjected to the Bradford method of protein estimation. The 15 μg of protein concentration was calculated from each treated sample and were loaded in the wells of the gel formed of SDS-PAGE. After resolving properly, SDS-PAGE gel was subjected to transfer onto the PVDF membrane, allowed it to incubate in fat-free milk (5%) blocking solution dissolved in TBST. After blocking nonspecific protein binding sites with a blocking solution, the PVDF membrane is incubated and probed with the desired antibodies (1:1000 dilutions) for at least 4 h when incubated at room temperature or 4°C overnight. The PVDF membrane is gently washed with TBST buffer three times. The pre-incubated membrane with the primary antibody is probed with a horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody. Immunosubstance was spotted by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) plus.

Detection of acidic vesicular organelles

Detection and quantification of acidic vesicular organelles by AO dye were performed using fluorescence microscopy as described previously (14). Under normal conditions AO stains DNA and cytoplasm and appears green in color, however, in acidic compartments like autophagosomes, AO acts as an anisotropic fluorescent dye and fluoresces bright red color in these compartments. Briefly, SSC-15 cells were exposed to different concentrations of BBR (200, 250, 300 μM) in a 6-well plate for 24 h. Using the PrimScript RT reagent kit, extracted RNA was converted to cDNA by reverse transcription. After cDNA synthesis, QPCR was achieved by using the QuantiTect SYBR-Green PCR Kit, on a sequencer. The PCR conditions were setup with 95°C for five min, followed by more than 35 cycles with incubation conditions 95°C for 30 sec, 58°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec. The primers used for miR-21 (forward 5’-AAATTGGGAGGACTCCAAGC-3’, reverse 5’- GAAGGAAAAAGTATGTCAGTGCAA-3’), miR-155 (forward 5’-GAATAATATTTCCTTGTTTGAAA-3’, reverse 5’-TTCAAAAGAACTATGTCATATCAATACAAT-3’), Reverse 5’-CGCTTCACGAATTTGCGTGTCAT-3’) stem-loop RT-qPCR are presented in table. The relative gene expressions were analyzed by employing the 2-ΔΔCT method, and internal control as U6.

Statistical analysis

All the evaluated experiments were accomplished equal to or more than three independent times. The latest version of software GraphPad Prism was used for statistical analysis of all independent unbiased experiments. The results obtained were denoted as the mean of ±SEM. Entire results were calculated and processed One-way ANOVA, wherein a p-value not more than 0.05 was reflected as significant (*means p < 0.05. ** p < 0.01, and *** p < 0.001).

RESULTS

BBR prevents cell proliferation and clonogenic property of HNSC cells

In recent studies, various reports showed that BBR exhibits an antiproliferative effect on numer-
ous cancer cell lines. To evaluate the antiproliferative effect of BBR, the cell viability was analyzed by using an MTT assay. Following the treatment to varying concentrations (200, 250, 300 µM) of BBR for 24 h. We found BBR significantly reduces the percentage of viable cells of HNSC cell lines (SSC-15 and SSC-4) in a dose-dependent manner. However, when calculated the IC₅₀ values of BBR against all the HNSC cell lines used in the experiment by using GraphPad Prism software. The observed IC₅₀ values are 235 and 242 µM for SSC-4 and SSC-15, respectively. However, against normal transformed cell line (NBL-4), BBR kills 50% cells at 9450 µM, indicated that HNSC cells are more sensitive to BBR, in contrast to normal transformed cells (Fig. 1A).

In addition to antiproliferative activity, we also intended to evaluate, whether BBR could inhibit clonogenic property of HNSC cells. Our results demonstrated that the colony formation ability of

HNSC cells was inhibited by BBR treatment. Interestingly, we found, sub-toxic doses of BBR (100, 150, 200, and 250 µM) significantly attenuate the clonogenic property of SSC-15 cells when compared to untreated cells and positive control staurosporine (Figs. 1B and 1C). Collectively, these results revealed that BBR inhibits cancer cell proliferation and clonogenic property of HNSC cells specifically with a safe toxicity profile against transformed normal cells.

BBR induces autophagy in HNSC cells

Numerous reports suggest that BBR induces autophagy and kills tumor cells by various mechanisms. Therefore, we intended to seek whether BBR could also promote autophagy in HNSC cells. To do this, SSC-15 cells were plated in 6-well, after adhered to the bottom surface of well, cells were treated with varying doses of BBR for 24 h. After treating SSC-15 cells for 24 h, immunoblotting

Figure 1. BBR inhibits cell proliferation and clonogenic properties of HNSC cells. (A) The effect of BBR on the cell viability of HNSC (SSC-15, SSC-4, and transformed normal cell line NBL-4) was determined by the MTT assay. (B) SSC-15 cells were treated with BBR in a concentration-dependent manner along with positive control staurosporine and untreated control for 24 h, to determine the anti-clonogenic property of BBR. Scale bar: 200 µm; 10x. (C) Bar diagram showing quantification of a number of colonies of SSC-15 cells per field. The data represent the mean value ± SE of three independent experiments *p < 0.05.
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Results revealed a prominent conversion of microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 β-I (LC-3I) to microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3 β-II (LC-3II), which is a distinctive hallmark of autophagosome maturation. To check whether BBR treatment could affect the autophagy flux in HNSC cells, we found a drastic reduction in the expression of sequestosome 1 protein p62 with decreased band intensity in lanes exposed to a higher concentration of BBR. Interestingly, we also observed prominent PARP1 cleavage at higher concentrations of BBR. However, the protein expression of another important sensor of the autophagy process, beclin1 did not change and remains consistently unchanged (Fig 2A).

Further, the study was commenced to inspect autophagic cells by acridine orange (AO) staining. To do this, SSC-15 cell was seeded in a 6-well plate and exposed to varying concentrations of BBR. We observe prominent visualization of AVOs appeared bright red in the cytoplasm of SSC-15 cells exposed to higher concentrations of BBR treatment (Figs. 2C and 2D). Collectively, these results suggest that BBR treatment stimulates autophagic flux and augments autophagic cell death in HNSC cells.

BBR promotes apoptotic cell death in HNSC cells

BBR has been shown to promote apoptosis by activating caspases, through cytochrome c release from mitochondria (intrinsic apoptosis), AMPK activation, and induction of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Therefore, we intended to seek whether...
BBR could also promote apoptotic cell death in HNSC cells. After treating SSC-15 cells to varying concentrations of BBR (100, 150, 200, and 250 µM) for 24 h, SSC-15 cells were incubated with PI and annexin V staining. Our flow-cytometry analysis revealed that a significant population of cells undergoes apoptosis (27.1%) at a higher concentration of BBR (250 µM) when compared to untreated control (6.6%) and positive control staurosporine 25 nM (33.7%) (Figs. 3A and 3B). To further confirm the activation of apoptosis we perform immunoblotting after treating SSC-15 cells with BBR in a dose-dependent manner. We observe prominent cleavage of PARP1 and caspase-3 proteins in the lanes where cells were exposed to higher concentrations of BBR compared to the control cell line (Fig. 3C). Together, these results demonstrate that BBR induces potent anti proliferative by pushing cells towards apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner.

**BBR downregulates miR-155 in HNSC cells**

The potential application of miRNAs in cancer therapy has been demonstrated in many studies. miRNA’s function either as a tumor suppressor or oncogene. Tumor suppressor miRNAs are downregulated in cancers, whereas oncogene miRNAs are up-regulated. Previous reports revealed that BBR modulates the miRNA expression in various cellular models. Therefore, we also wanted to evaluate whether BBR could modulate the expression of miRNAs in HNSC cells. The current study evaluates the effect of BBR on the expression of miRNAs. After the exposure of HNSC cells with varying doses of BBR for 24 h along with control DMSO. The total RNA was isolated to analyze miRNAs by using RT-qPCR. Our results demonstrated that significant upregulation of miR-155 and downregulation of miR-21 was observed after SSC-15 cells were exposed to BBR in a dose-dependent manner when compared with untreated control (Figs. 4A and 4B) (Table 1). Collectively, these results revealed that higher doses of BBR treatment downregulates miR-21 and upregulates miR-155. This modulation of tumor suppressor and oncogenic miRNAs could sensitize head and neck tumor cells thereby exert antiproliferative effect and induces simultaneous induction of autophagy and apoptosis in HNSC cells.

---

**Figure 3.** BBR induces apoptosis in HNSC cells. A. HNSC cells (SSC-15) were exposed to indicated concentrations of BBR along with untreated control and positive control staurosporine 25 nM for 24 h and then subjected to Annexin V FITC and propidium iodide staining and analyzed by FACS. (B) Bar diagram showing quantification of Annexin V FITC positive cells analyzed by FACS. (C) Immunoblotting analysis showing prominent PARP cleavage in lanes where cells were exposed to higher concentrations of BBR and staurosporine 25 nM treatment and internal control β-actin. The data represent the mean value ± SE of three independent experiments **p < 0.01.”
DISCUSSION

The major oncogenic drivers in HNSC are high intake of alcohol, long-term use of tobacco, and high-risk infections-associated Human Papilloma Virus. The high prevalence and increased mortality rate in HNSC reduce life expectancy and quality of life (20). The therapeutic options for HNC are surgery followed by radiotherapy and chemotherapy (21). Although significant advancement has been achieved in medical intervention, which includes surgical intervention followed by radiation therapy and chemotherapeutics. However, the five-year survival period in HNSC patients is still disheartening from the last 20 years (22). Although chemotherapy is believed to be one of the major medical intervention to deal HNC, however, the effectiveness and adverse effects of clinical chemotherapeutic drugs against HNSC is dismal (23). To manage and increase the quality of life of HNC patients, there is a need for the development of novel drugs that can kill tumor cells specifically with the least undesirable effects on normal cells. (24). Thus, the primary purpose of the development of chemotherapeutic drugs is focused on the killing of cancer cells specifically and leaving normal cells unaffected (25). Natural product compounds from a variety of sources are used to treat various ailments since ancient times. Natural compounds and their associated structural moieties play an important part in the development of drug discovery (26). In the recent past, strategies have been developed to evaluate the safety profile and drug efficacy of plant-based natural compounds in combination with clinically approved drugs or alone for the development of effective chemothera-

![Figure 4. BBR modulates miR-155 and miR-21 expression profile in SSC-15 cells. (A) The bar diagram represents relative fold change in miR-155 expression in log 2 after SSC-15 cells were exposed to varying concentrations of BBR for 24 h. (B) The bar diagram represents relative fold change in miR-21 expression in log 2 after SSC-15 cells were exposed to varying concentrations of BBR for 24 h. The data represent the mean value ± SE for the fold change in the expression of miRNA in log 2 scale between BBR-treated SSC-15 cells and untreated SSC-15 cells obtained from three independent experiments.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BBR treatment (µM; 24h)</th>
<th>miRNA-155 expression after normalized with control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Up-/down regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BBR treatment (µM; 24h)</th>
<th>miRNA-21 expression after normalized with control</th>
<th>p-value</th>
<th>Up-/down regulation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>down</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>-5.98</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>down</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BBR, and modulating miRNAs (30). The current study demonstrates BBR exerts significant cytotoxic activity in HNSC cells and attenuates the clonogenic property of SSC-15 cells in a dose-dependent manner. Mechanistically, BBR simultaneously induces autophagy as well as apoptosis-mediated cell death in HNSC cells. Furthermore, BBR-mediated autophagy was observed by a study conversion of LC-3I to LC-3II with a concomitant reduction in autophagy substrate protein sequestosome (p62) in a dose-dependent manner. Moreover, BBR activated the apoptotic signaling pathway by up-regulating and cleaving pro-apoptotic proteins PARP1 and caspase-3 in HNSC cells at higher concentrations of BBR. Additionally, we demonstrate that BBR modulates miRNAs, by upregulating tumor suppressor miR-21-3p expression of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 and downregulating the oncogenic miR-21 expression in SSC-15 cells in a dose-dependent manner.

Despite having other pharmacological activities, BBR has gained tremendous attention as a promising chemotherapeutic agent (31, 32). BBR exerts anti-tumor activity, inhibits migration, and induces apoptosis in a wide variety of cancer cell lines (32). BBR modulates and interacts with a plethora of molecules that play an important role in regulating tumorigenesis (33). Recent reports revealed that BBR exerts antiproliferative effect by inducing mitochondrial-dependent cell death in breast carcinoma cells by reducing the protein expression of anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-2 and upregulates tumor suppressor miR-21-3p expression in hepatocellular carcinoma (32). Consistent with previous reports, we notice that BBR treatment exerts significant antiproliferative effect with IC50 in a range of 235-242 µM and inhibits clonogenic property of HNSC cells in a dose-dependent manner.

Autophagy is a catabolic process in which cell utilizes damaged organelles or macromolecules thereby generates recycled metabolic energy for regulating cellular homeostasis especially during stress conditions (34). Besides apoptosis, natural compounds have been reported to induce autophagic cell death (programmed cell death type II) in cancer cells (35). The previous report also revealed that BBR suppresses hepatocellular carcinoma cells, by simultaneous induction of mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis and autophagic cell death in hepatocellular carcinoma cells (36). Additionally, various BBR derivatives have been reported to induce autophagy in colorectal cancer cells (37). Consistent with the previous studies, we observe BBR augments autophagy by visualizing prominent orange-red color cytoplasmic acidic autophagosomes stained with acidine orange. Further, we observe study conversion of autophagy protein LC-3I to LC-3II with concomitant reduction of autophagy flux protein sequestosome (P62) when SSC-15 cells were exposed to a dose-dependent concentration of BBR. The common mechanism of inducing cell death by natural chemopreventive agents is programmed cell death type I called apoptosis (38). The activation of death receptor-mediated apoptosis called extrinsic apoptosis pathway or the intrinsic apoptosis pathway which is the mitochondria-dependent pathway, operated when there are mitochondrial membrane depolarization and cytochrome c liberation from mitochondria (39). Previous reports in colorectal cancer and hepato cellular carcinoma revealed that BBR induces caspase-3 and PARP1 mediated apoptosis (40). Additionally, BBR mediated depolarization of mitochondrial membrane potential releases cytochrome C. This results in elevation of ROS level which activates PARP1 mediated apoptosis (41). Consistent with the previous reports, our results revealed that a significant population of apoptotic cells (27.1%) was quantified by flow cytometry when SSC-15 cells were exposed to a higher dose (250 µM) of BBR. Additionally, our immunoblotting results also support that BBR activates and cleaves proapoptotic proteins procaspase-3 and PARP1 in SSC-15 cells in a dose-dependent manner.

Recent advancements in genomics confirmed that miRNAs are the key players in the progression of tumorigenesis (42). The modulation of miRNAs by antitumor agents plays a crucial role in cancer diagnostics and therapeutics (43). In the recent past, BBR has been reported to inhibit cell proliferation and induces apoptosis in HCC by upregulating miR-21-3p (44). Additionally, BBR sensitizes cisplatin-resistant ovarian cancer cells by downregulates miR-21 (45). Consistent with the previous studies, our results demonstrate that BBR modulates miRNA expression of SSC-15 cells in a dose-dependent manner. The RT-qPCR analysis revealed that BBR modulates miRNA expression by downregulating and upregulating the expression of miR-21 and miR-155 respectively, which play a key role in tumorigenesis and apoptosis mechanisms of head and neck cancer. Collectively, these findings reveal that BBR modu-
lates biological activities by regulating the expres-
sions of miR-155, miR-21, and sensitize malignant
cells to cell death mode (autophagy and apoptosis).

CONCLUSION

Our results first time demonstrate that BBR
exerts antiproliferative effect and abrogates the
clonogenic property of HNSC cells. Mechanistically, BBR induces autophagy by promi-
nent visualization of cytoplasmic orange-red color
acidic autophagosomes and simultaneous conver-
sion of autophagy protein LC-3I to LC-3II with con-
comitant reduction of sequestosome protein P62.
Moreover, BBR induces apoptosis by activates
proapoptotic proteins procaspase-3 and PARP1
mediated cell killing in HNSC cells. Additionally,
downmodulates and upregulates the expression of
miR-21 miR-155, respectively. Collectively these
results demonstrate that BBR is a promising anti-
cancer therapeutic agent against HNSC cell models
and could be used in future therapeutics for the
chemoprevention of HNC.
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